arXiv:1108.3046v2 [gr-qc] 23 May 2012

On Geometrically Unified Fields and Universal Constants

Luca Fabbri

INFN, Sez. di Bologna & Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Bologna

and DIPTEM Sez. Metodi e Modelli Matematici, Università di Genova

PACS: 04.20.Cv · 04.20.Gz · 04.20.-q

Abstract

We consider the Cartan extension of Riemann geometry as the basis upon which to build the Sciama–Kibble completion of Einstein gravity further supplemented by Maxwell electrodynamics for Dirac matter field, and we develop the theory in such a way as to assign to the torsion and metric tensor with gauge potentials together with the spinor field a correspondent number of four coupling constants; we explicitly construct the completely antisymmetric torsion-spin and curvature-energy coupling together with the gauge-current coupling and the fermionic self-interacting field equations: for this last some consequences will be discussed.

Introduction

When back in 1888 Gregorio Ricci-Curbastro and his pupil Tullio Levi-Civita had to found the geometry of absolute differential calculus, having no torsion was helpful, because in this way what will later be called Levi-Civita connection was symmetric and metric-compatible and therefore entirely written in terms of the Riemannian metric: the principle for which relativistic symmetries imposed at a differential level require the introduction of a connection could then be easily implemented upon the definition of the symmetric metric-compatible Levi-Civita connection entirely given in terms of the Riemannian metric itself.

However, some time later, the absence of torsion was recognized more as an assumption to simplify calculations than as a necessary constraint, and arguments of generality insisted for having the simplest Levi-Civita connection completed by the presence of the Cartan torsion tensor containing information about the background: if the principle for which relativistic symmetries imposed at a differential level require the introduction of a connection is taken in its utmost generality and since in what defines a connection nothing suggests its symmetry then generality asks for a connection that is not symmetric and therefore in general torsion does not vanish, and the extension of Riemann metric geometry as to include Cartan torsion is the Riemann-Cartan geometry.

The fact that there is no a priori reason not to consider torsion does not imply that there cannot be any posteriori reasons implying a zero torsion, and in fact, when back in 1916 Albert Einstein had to construct the theory of gravitation, forcing torsion to vanish was insightful, because in this way what will later be known as Einstein tensor was symmetric and divergenceless; building such a physical quantity was essential since at that time physics described only systems with energy densities symmetric and divergenceless: the demand of a link between spacetime and matter could then be easily realized in the identification between the symmetric divergenceless Einstein tensor and the symmetric divergenceless energy density known as Einstein gravitational field equations.

However, few decades after that, the vanishing torsion should not have been welcomed any longer, as it became clear that there were reasons to consider Einstein tensor no longer symmetric; the reasons for needing a more general geometry were related to the fact that for the first time physics witnessed a system with non-symmetric energy density and a spin density: if the demand of a link between spacetime and matter had to be extended to this more general instance then there should have been an identification between a non-symmetric Einstein tensor and the non-symmetric energy density in addition to another relationship linking torsion to the spin density in what has to be an extended system of complete Einstein-Sciama–Kibble gravitational field equations [1].

In his time Planck once commented that a new scientific idea does not triumph by convincing its opponents but rather because its opponents eventually die, and a new generation grows familiar with the idea; however Planck's sheer honesty and cold lucidity do not make his quote true, because since the introduction of torsion many new generations grew, but torsion has not found its place beside curvature in the geometric theory of gravitation yet: the reason for this fact is that, if on the one hand, torsion increases the generality of Einstein gravity without spoiling its predictions, on the other hand, this is so because the Einstein-Sciama–Kibble gravity as it is understood now has genuine effects that are negligible except at the Planck energy. This circumstance is what makes torsion a great gift of little value, because being torsion an unavoidable part of the most general connection we do not need to require it, but as the field equations are given there is not much we can do with it. In this irritating situation, having torsion is like winning a lottery whose jackpot amounts to few pennies.

Albeit we clearly retain that we cannot have torsional effects relevant at scales at which we know that torsional contributions have to be negligible, on the other hand if torsional effects were relevant soon beyond these scales it would be interesting to see what would follow as possible consequences: a torsion that is relevant soon after the Fermi scale means that the torsion coupling should not occur with the strength we have always been assuming, which ultimately means that in the history of torsion somewhere, somehow something about the torsion coupling constant has been overlooked; the simplest intuition may start from the remark that, because within the Einstein-Sciama–Kibble gravitational field equations there is not the identification but only the proportionality between curvature and energy and between torsion and spin, and since the curvature and torsion are independent fields, then curvature and torsion could couple to energy and spin with two different coupling constants. So far as our knowledge is concerned, the first who discussed the possibility to have two different coupling constant was Kaempffer, but despite the fact that he clearly explained that such a modification should and could have been achieved in a formal way he did not provide for this theory a concrete way to accomplish the introduction of two constants, and torsion remained in its limbo for another thirty years [2].

In the present paper, our purpose is to consider the Einstein-Sciama–Kibble gravitational field theory as modified by Kaempffer to implement the coupling between geometry and physics with a coupling constant for each of the independent fields involved, and to further develop the physical model giving the explicit field equations and discussing the value of the coupling constant.

1 The Kinematical Background Symmetries

We start by recalling how the foundation of the Riemannian metric geometry are extended to include the Cartan torsion tensor, that is the RC geometry.

In the RC geometry, the introduction of a connection $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$ allows to define the covariant derivative D_{μ} containing differential information, and as the most general connection does not vanish in the two lower indices the quantity

$$Q^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\nu}=\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}-\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\nu\mu} \tag{1}$$

in general is different from zero and it is known as Cartan torsion tensor, after the mathematician who first recognized the importance of this quantity for the kinematic background; then the tensors $g_{\alpha\sigma}$ and $g^{\alpha\sigma}$ are used for the lowering and rising of the Greek tensorial indices and called metric because they contain the metric information: we assume a first requirement to be the complete antisymmetry of Cartan torsion tensor $Q_{[\alpha\mu\rho]}=6Q_{\alpha\mu\rho}$ while a second requirement is the covariant constancy of the metric tensor $Dg=0$ known under the name of metricity or metric-compatibility condition, the first constraints ensuring the existence of a unique symmetric part of the connection that can be vanished in a point of a given coordinate system while the second condition ensuring that symmetric part of the connection is vanished and the metric is flattened in the same neighborhood of the very same coordinate system. Then we have that the most general connection defined by $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$ can be decomposed according to

$$\Gamma^{\mu}_{\sigma\pi}=\tfrac{1}{2}Q^{\mu}{}_{\sigma\pi}+\tfrac{1}{2}g^{\mu\rho}\left(\partial_{\pi}g_{\sigma\rho}+\partial_{\sigma}g_{\pi\rho}-\partial_{\rho}g_{\sigma\pi}\right) \tag{2}$$

for which the torsional contributions have been separated from the simplest symmetric Levi-Civita connection $\Lambda^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$ entirely given in terms of the partial derivatives of the Riemannian metric, and correspondingly within the most general covariant derivatives D_{μ} the torsional contributions can be split from the simplest covariant derivative ∇_{μ} in terms of the Riemann metric; the complete antisymmetry of the Cartan torsion tensor together with the metric-compatibility condition are equivalent to the decomposition in (2). The relationship of this decomposition for the connection with the principle of equivalence and causality, have been investigated in sets of works, as discussed in [3, 4], [5, 6] and [7, 8].

From the most general connection $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$ and ts derivatives we can also define

$$G^{\mu}{}_{\rho\sigma\pi}=\partial_{\sigma}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\rho\pi}-\partial_{\pi}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\rho\sigma}+\Gamma^{\mu}_{\lambda\sigma}\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\rho\pi}-\Gamma^{\mu}_{\lambda\pi}\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\rho\sigma} \tag{3}$$

called Riemann curvature tensor verifying the identities given by

$$\begin{aligned}D_{\kappa}Q^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu}+D_{\nu}Q^{\rho}{}_{\kappa\mu}+D_{\mu}Q^{\rho}{}_{\nu\kappa}+Q^{\pi}{}_{\nu\kappa}Q^{\rho}{}_{\mu\pi}+Q^{\pi}{}_{\mu\nu}Q^{\rho}{}_{\kappa\pi}+Q^{\pi}{}_{\kappa\mu}Q^{\rho}{}_{\nu\pi}-\\-G^{\rho}{}_{\kappa\nu\mu}-G^{\rho}{}_{\mu\kappa\nu}-G^{\rho}{}_{\nu\mu\kappa}\equiv 0\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

known as Jacoby-Bianchi torsional identities, and since the Riemann curvature tensor is antisymmetric in the first and second couple of indices then it has one independent contraction ${G^{\alpha}}_{\rho\alpha\sigma} = G_{\rho\sigma}$ that is called Ricci tensor, which has one sole contraction $G_{\rho\sigma}g^{\rho\sigma} = G$ is called Ricci scalar, and we have that with the curvature and the completely antisymmetric torsion, the commutator of two coordinate covariant derivatives is therefore given by the following expression

$$[D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}]T^{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_j} = {Q^{\eta}}_{\mu\nu}D_{\eta}T^{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_j} + \textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{k=j} {G^{\alpha_k}}_{\sigma\mu\nu}T^{\alpha_1\ldots\sigma\ldots\alpha_j} \tag{5}$$

suggesting that the completely antisymmetric torsion acts as the completely antisymmetric structure coefficients of the infinitesimal generators of the covariant translations D_{μ} while the curvatures act as linear operators of the infinitesimal generators of the covariant rotations ${G^{\alpha}}_{\beta\mu\nu}$ one for each index: thus both Cartan torsion and Riemann curvature tensors vanish if and only if a global coordinate system where the connection vanishes exists. Then we have that the most general Riemann curvature tensor ${G^{\mu}}_{\rho\sigma\pi}$ can be decomposed according to

$${G^{\mu}}_{\rho\sigma\pi} = {R^{\mu}}_{\rho\sigma\pi} + \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{\sigma}{Q^{\mu}}_{\rho\pi} - \nabla_{\pi}{Q^{\mu}}_{\rho\sigma}\right) + \tfrac{1}{4}\left({Q^{\mu}}_{\lambda\sigma}{Q^{\lambda}}_{\rho\pi} - {Q^{\mu}}_{\lambda\pi}{Q^{\lambda}}_{\rho\sigma}\right) \tag{6}$$

in terms of Cartan torsion plus Riemann metric curvature tensor ${R^{\mu}}_{\rho\sigma\pi}$ alone.

Finally, we have that the validity of the identities given by the expressions

$$\begin{gathered} D_{\mu}{G^{\nu}}_{\iota\kappa\rho} + D_{\kappa}{G^{\nu}}_{\iota\rho\mu} + D_{\rho}{G^{\nu}}_{\iota\mu\kappa} + \\ + {G^{\nu}}_{\iota\beta\mu}{Q^{\beta}}_{\rho\kappa} + {G^{\nu}}_{\iota\beta\kappa}{Q^{\beta}}_{\mu\rho} + {G^{\nu}}_{\iota\beta\rho}{Q^{\beta}}_{\kappa\mu} \equiv 0 \end{gathered} \tag{7}$$

are the well known Jacobi-Bianchi curvature identities, useful in the following.

The RC geometry can be written in world formalism by defining the dual bases of orthonormal tetrads ξ^{a}_{σ} and ξ^{σ}_{a} together with the introduction of the spin-connection $\Gamma^{i}_{j\mu}$ defining the covariant derivative D_{μ} that extends the differential properties to this formalism; what corresponds to the metric tensor are the Minkowskian matrices η_{aq} and η^{aq} used to lower and raise the Latin world indices similarly to what was done before: the previously introduced formalism of coordinate indices and the presently defined formalism of world indices are made equivalent upon the requirement of the covariant constancy of the tetrads and the Minkowskian matrices $D\xi = 0$ and $D\eta = 0$ which we will call formalism-compatibility conditions. The spin-connection $\Gamma^{i}_{j\mu}$ is translated according to

$${\Gamma^{b}}_{j\mu} = \xi^{\alpha}_{j}\xi^{b}_{\rho}\left({\Gamma^{\rho}}_{\alpha\mu} + \xi^{k}_{\alpha}\partial_{\mu}\xi^{\rho}_{k}\right) \tag{8}$$

once the connection $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$ is known and we have that it is antisymmetric in the two world indices; the formalism-compatibility conditions are equivalent to the spin-connection translation (8) and its antisymmetry respectively. Although in this formalism it is not possible to define a genuine torsion, the torsion tensor defined before through (1) can be written with the upper world index as

$$-{Q^{a}}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\xi^{a}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\xi^{a}_{\mu} + \Gamma^{a}_{j\mu}\xi^{j}_{\nu} - \Gamma^{a}_{j\nu}\xi^{j}_{\mu} \tag{9}$$

identically, as it is easy to check with a straightforward computation.

Now considering the spin-connection it is possible to define

$${G^{a}}_{b\sigma\pi} = \partial_{\sigma}\Gamma^{a}_{b\pi} - \partial_{\pi}\Gamma^{a}_{b\sigma} + \Gamma^{a}_{j\sigma}\Gamma^{j}_{b\pi} - \Gamma^{a}_{j\pi}\Gamma^{j}_{b\sigma} \tag{10}$$

antisymmetric in both the coordinate and the world indices, so that the commutator of two coordinate covariant derivatives is given by the following

$$[D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}]T^{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_j} = {Q^{\eta}}_{\mu\nu}D_{\eta}T^{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_j} + \textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{k=j} {G^{\alpha_k}}_{\sigma\mu\nu}T^{\alpha_1\ldots\sigma\ldots\alpha_j} \tag{11}$$

in which we see that, on the one hand, although we have not defined a Cartan torsion tensor nevertheless it arose from the background, while, on the other hand, it is possible to define a curvature whose two world indices are still antisymmetric making even more clearer that this curvature acts as linear operator for each index in a linear space of tensors: it is possible to prove that both torsion and curvature tensor vanish if and only if a global reference frame in which the spin-connection vanishes exists. This curvature tensor is writable as

$$G^{a}{}_{b\sigma\pi}=G^{\mu}{}_{\rho\sigma\pi}\xi^{\rho}_{b}\xi^{a}_{\mu} \tag{12}$$

in terms of the previous expression (3) of the Riemann tensor, as obvious.

Finally, it is possible to get the expressions

$$\begin{aligned}D_{\mu}G^{a}{}_{j\kappa\rho}+D_{\kappa}G^{a}{}_{j\rho\mu}+D_{\rho}G^{a}{}_{j\mu\kappa}+\\+G^{a}{}_{j\beta\mu}Q^{\beta}{}_{\rho\kappa}+G^{a}{}_{j\beta\kappa}Q^{\beta}{}_{\mu\rho}+G^{a}{}_{j\beta\rho}Q^{\beta}{}_{\kappa\mu}\equiv 0\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

translating the Jacobi-Bianchi curvature identities to this formalism.

Notice that among the advantages of this formalism is the fact that the geometry can be seen in the Yang-Mills sense as a Poincaré gauge theory, where once the rototraslations are gauged the tetrad-basis and spin-connection are the potentials and the Cartan and Riemann tensors are the strengths according to equations (9) and (10), as it has been demonstrated in reference [9].

It is useful to recall that it is also possible to define a geometry for the complex fields, in which the introduction of the gauge-connection A_{μ} defines the gauge-covariant derivative D_{μ} that extends the differential properties to the domain of the complex fields; the analogous of the process of raising and lowering indices is given in the present case by the process of complex conjugation of the complex fields themselves. Also there is no analogous of the torsion tensor.

The analogous of the curvature is given from the gauge-connection as

$$F_{\mu\nu}=\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} \tag{14}$$

being antisymmetric in the two indices and thus irreducible called Maxwell tensor, with which the commutator of two gauge-covariant derivatives is

$$[D_{\zeta},D_{\theta}]\psi=iqF_{\zeta\theta}\psi \tag{15}$$

where q is called charge, and where there is no equivalent to the Cartan torsion tensor whereas the equivalent of Riemann curvature tensor is given by the Maxwell curvature tensor. Notice that it is not possible to reduce the Maxwell tensor any further, and therefore it is to be considered an irreducible field.

The analogous of the Jacobi-Bianchi identities are the following

$$\partial_{\alpha}F_{\mu\pi}+\partial_{\pi}F_{\alpha\mu}+\partial_{\mu}F_{\pi\alpha}\equiv 0 \tag{16}$$

which although written in terms of the ordinary partial derivatives nevertheless they are a tensorial identity, which are called Cauchy curvature identity.

We have to remark that even though (14) can actually be written in terms of the covariant derivatives $F_{\mu\nu}=\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}\equiv\nabla_{\mu}A_{\nu}-\nabla_{\nu}A_{\mu}$ and from it up to the generalized form $F_{\mu\nu}=D_{\mu}A_{\nu}-D_{\nu}A_{\mu}$ nevertheless such a generalization is not in fact possible if we still want (14) to be the commutator of gauge-covariant derivatives, as discussed in [8], and that (14) must be the commutator of gauge-covariant derivatives comes from the fact that it is the strength of the gauge-connection exactly in the same way in which (9) and (10) are the strengths for the tetrad-basis and spin-connection, as discussed in reference [9].

Writing the RC geometry in the world indices formalism has the advantage that the transformation laws are now Lorentz transformations of explicit structure that can also be written in the complex representation in which the inclusion of the Maxwell geometry would fit perfectly, and this can be done after the introduction of the matrices $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_a$ verifying $\{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_i,\boldsymbol{\gamma}_j\}=2\mathbb{I}\eta_{ij}$ by defining the set of matrices $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{ab}$ given by $[\boldsymbol{\gamma}_i,\boldsymbol{\gamma}_j]=4\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{ij}$ defining $\{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_i,\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{jk}\}=i\varepsilon_{ijkq}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^q$ which can be proven to be a set of complex generators of the infinitesimal Lorentz complex transformation we need, called spinorial transformation, and then the spinor-connection $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_\mu$ defines the spinor-covariant derivative $\boldsymbol{D}_\mu$ that contains the information about the dynamics of the spinor fields, of which we are going to consider the simplest $\frac{1}{2}$-spin spinor field alone; in this case the analogous of the process of raising and lowering indices and complex conjugation is a combined process through which to a spinor field ψ it is possible to associate the spinor field given in the form $\overline{\psi}=\psi^\dagger\boldsymbol{\gamma}_0$ called adjoint: the spinorial constancy of the matrices $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_j$ is implemented automatically. Then we have that the spinor-connection $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_\mu$ is decomposed according to the following expression

$$\boldsymbol{A}_\mu=\tfrac{1}{2}\Gamma^{ab}{}_{\mu}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{ab}+iqA_\mu\mathbb{I} \tag{17}$$

decomposed in terms of the complex-valued spin-connection plus an abelian field which we may now identify with the Maxwell gauge-connection; the constancy of the matrices $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_j$ is what determines the decomposition (17). And also for the spinor-connection no analogous of the torsion tensor can be defined as well.

The analogous of the Riemann curvature given with the spinor-connection

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{\sigma\pi}=\partial_\sigma\boldsymbol{A}_\pi-\partial_\pi\boldsymbol{A}_\sigma+[\boldsymbol{A}_\sigma,\boldsymbol{A}_\pi] \tag{18}$$

which is a tensorial spinor antisymmetric in the tensorial indices, and the commutator of two spinor-covariant derivatives is given by the following

$$[\boldsymbol{D}_\zeta,\boldsymbol{D}_\theta]\psi=Q^{\mu}{}_{\zeta\theta}\boldsymbol{D}_\mu\psi+\boldsymbol{F}_{\zeta\theta}\psi \tag{19}$$

with Cartan torsion and where the Riemann and Maxwell curvature tensors are written as a single expression. The spinorial curvature is writable as

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{\sigma\pi}=\tfrac{1}{2}G^{ab}{}_{\sigma\pi}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{ab}+iqF_{\sigma\pi}\mathbb{I} \tag{20}$$

as a combination of Riemann and Maxwell tensors, in a very compact form.

Therefore, we finally have that it is possible to write the expressions

$$\begin{aligned}&D_\mu\boldsymbol{F}_{\kappa\rho}+D_\kappa\boldsymbol{F}_{\rho\mu}+D_\rho\boldsymbol{F}_{\mu\kappa}+\\&+\boldsymbol{F}_{\beta\mu}Q^{\beta}{}_{\rho\kappa}+\boldsymbol{F}_{\beta\kappa}Q^{\beta}{}_{\mu\rho}+\boldsymbol{F}_{\beta\rho}Q^{\beta}{}_{\kappa\mu}\equiv 0\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

condensing the Jacobi-Bianchi and Cauchy curvature identities for spinor fields.

To summarize, we have seen that in general torsion is present and that the principles used to infer its vanishing can only restrict it to be completely antisymmetric, so that the completely antisymmetric torsion could find its place beside the metric into the metric-compatible most general connection we will be employing in the following; the gauge fields have been introduced in a similar geometric fashion, so similar that the geometric-gauge contributions could be condensed into a single contribution for spinors: only $\frac{1}{2}$-spin spinor fields have been considered, that is the simplest spinorial field possible. We also remark that this kinematic background has been constructed by requiring the implementation of covariance for the underlying geometry alone.

2 The Dynamical Field Equations

Having settled the RC kinematics, in the following we are going to consider the Einsteinian purely metric gravity completing it as to include the Sciama–Kibble torsional sector, obtaining the ESK model. Then we will consider the suggestion of Kaempffer to enlarge the ESK model as to have both torsion and metric entering with their own coupling constant developing the ESK^2 model.

First of all, we recall the historical path: when Einstein set torsion to zero he was motivated by the fact that in doing so the identities (4) and (7) in their contracted in the torsionless limit form reduced a form for which the so called Einstein tensor $R_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R$ resulted to be symmetric and divergenceless like all energy density tensors $T_{\mu\nu}$ known at that time; in searching for field equations linking geometrical quantities on the one hand and material fields on the other, he thought to set $R_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R-\lambda g_{\mu\nu}=8\pi kT_{\mu\nu}$ in terms of some proportionality constant k later acknowledged to be the Newton constant. These field equations might have been obtained through the variation of the lagrangian density that is given in terms of the Ricci metric curvature scalar alone, being it the least-order derivative lagrangian density possible. Now if one wishes to pursue the same path Einstein followed but being in the most general case in which there is torsion, then identities (4) and (7) in their fully contracted form are

$$D_{\rho}Q^{\rho\mu\nu}-\left(G^{\mu\nu}-\tfrac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}G-\lambda g^{\mu\nu}\right)+\left(G^{\nu\mu}-\tfrac{1}{2}g^{\nu\mu}G-\lambda g^{\nu\mu}\right)\equiv 0 \qquad (22)$$

$$D_{\mu}\left(G^{\mu\nu}-\tfrac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}G-\lambda g^{\mu\nu}\right)-\left(G_{\rho\beta}-\tfrac{1}{2}g_{\rho\beta}G-\lambda g_{\rho\beta}\right)Q^{\beta\rho\nu}+\tfrac{1}{2}G^{\mu\rho\beta\nu}Q_{\beta\mu\rho}\equiv 0 \qquad (23)$$

and one should also take into account the fact that an additional spin density tensor $S_{\lambda\mu\nu}$ is present beside an energy density tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ that is not symmetric any more; in searching for field equations linking geometrical quantities on the one hand and material fields on the other, we may still define Einstein tensor in the same way and eventually set the field equations given by

$$Q^{\rho\mu\nu}=-16\pi kS^{\rho\mu\nu} \qquad (24)$$

$$G^{\mu}{}_{\nu}-\tfrac{1}{2}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}G-\lambda\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}=8\pi kT^{\mu}{}_{\nu} \qquad (25)$$

so to convert the above identities into the conservation laws

$$D_{\rho}S^{\rho\mu\nu}+\tfrac{1}{2}\left(T^{\mu\nu}-T^{\mu\nu}\right)\equiv 0 \qquad (26)$$

$$D_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}+T_{\rho\beta}Q^{\rho\beta\nu}-S_{\mu\rho\beta}G^{\mu\rho\beta\nu}\equiv 0 \qquad (27)$$

which are to be valid once the matter field equations are assigned. These field equations may be obtained through the variation of the lagrangian density given in terms of the Ricci scalar $\mathscr{L}=\frac{1}{16\pi k}(2\lambda+G)$ being it the torsional generalization of the least-order derivative lagrangian density. However, although this system of field equations really has the Einstein equations extended as to include torsion, nevertheless this is only the most straightforward but not yet the most general of all the possible enlargements in which torsion is present, with a spin density tensor $S_{\lambda\mu\nu}$ and energy density tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ not symmetric; in searching for field equations linking geometrical quantities on the one hand and material fields on the other, we have that the field equations given by the following

$$Q^{\rho\mu\nu}=-aS^{\rho\mu\nu} \tag{28}$$

$$\tfrac{b}{2a}\left(\tfrac{1}{4}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}Q^{2}-\tfrac{1}{2}Q^{\mu\alpha\sigma}Q_{\nu\alpha\sigma}+D_{\rho}Q^{\rho\mu}{}_{\nu}\right)+\left(G^{\mu}{}_{\nu}-\tfrac{1}{2}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}G\right)-\lambda\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}=\left(\tfrac{b+a}{2}\right)T^{\mu}{}_{\nu} \tag{29}$$

are in fact the most general for which the above Jacobi-Bianchi identities can be used to obtain the validity of the above conservation laws

$$D_{\rho}S^{\rho\mu\nu}+\tfrac{1}{2}\left(T^{\mu\nu}-T^{\mu\nu}\right)\equiv 0 \tag{30}$$

$$D_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}+T_{\rho\beta}Q^{\rho\beta\nu}-S_{\mu\rho\beta}G^{\mu\rho\beta\nu}\equiv 0 \tag{31}$$

holding when the matter field equations are assigned. To see that these are the most general field equations we just have to notice that they may be obtained through the variation of the lagrangian density given in terms of the Ricci scalar plus torsional squared contributions, so that torsion is both implicitly and explicitly present beside the curvature scalar, in a lagrangian density given by the form $\mathscr{L}=2a\lambda+aR-\left(\frac{b+a}{4}\right)Q^{2}\equiv 2a\lambda+aG-\frac{b}{4}Q^{2}$ being this the most general torsional extension of the least-order derivative lagrangian density.

Next step would consist in the inclusion of the Maxwell field, and we may follow a path analogous to the previous one in the case of gauge fields, where the commutator of the gauge curvature can be taken in its fully contracted form furnishing the identity $D_{\rho}\left(D_{\sigma}F^{\sigma\rho}+\frac{1}{2}F_{\alpha\mu}Q^{\alpha\mu\rho}\right)\equiv 0$ and considering that there is also the current vector J_{μ} that must be accounted; in searching for field equations linking geometrical quantities on the one hand and material fields on the other, we may finally define the system of field equations

$$Q^{\rho\mu\nu}=-aS^{\rho\mu\nu} \tag{32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{b}{2a}\left(\tfrac{1}{4}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}Q^{2}-\tfrac{1}{2}Q^{\mu\alpha\sigma}Q_{\nu\alpha\sigma}+D_{\rho}Q^{\rho\mu}{}_{\nu}\right)+\left(G^{\mu}{}_{\nu}-\tfrac{1}{2}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}G-\lambda\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}\right)+\\+\left(\tfrac{b+a}{2}\right)\left(F^{\rho\mu}F_{\rho\nu}-\tfrac{1}{4}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}F^{2}\right)=\left(\tfrac{b+a}{2}\right)T^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

$$\tfrac{1}{2}F_{\alpha\mu}Q^{\alpha\mu\rho}+D_{\sigma}F^{\sigma\rho}=J^{\rho} \tag{34}$$

so to convert the above identities into the conservation laws

$$D_{\rho}S^{\rho\mu\nu}+\tfrac{1}{2}\left(T^{\mu\nu}-T^{\mu\nu}\right)\equiv 0 \tag{35}$$

$$D_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}+T_{\rho\beta}Q^{\rho\beta\nu}-S_{\mu\rho\beta}G^{\mu\rho\beta\nu}+J_{\rho}F^{\rho\nu}\equiv 0 \tag{36}$$

$$D_{\rho}J^{\rho}=0 \tag{37}$$

which are to be valid once the matter field equations are assigned. These field equations might have been obtained through the variation of the lagrangian density given in terms of the gravitational one plus Maxwell tensor squared terms as the least-order derivative lagrangian density that is very well known.

Now this system of field equations is the geometrical system of field equations defining the structure of the torsional-gravitational gauge interactions which will have to be coupled to a given material content, and we can see that the completely antisymmetric spin and energy densities with current

$$S^{\rho\mu\nu}=\tfrac{i\hbar}{4}\overline{\psi}\{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\rho},\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}\}\psi \tag{38}$$

$${T^{\mu}}_{\nu}=\tfrac{i\hbar}{2}\left(\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{D}_{\nu}\psi-\boldsymbol{D}_{\nu}\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\psi\right) \tag{39}$$

$$J^{\rho}=q\hbar\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\rho}\psi \tag{40}$$

are precisely the conserved quantities we need once the spinor field has

$$i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{D}_{\mu}\psi-m\psi=0 \tag{41}$$

as the matter field equations themselves. These field equations might have also been obtained through the variation of the lagrangian density given in terms of the Dirac least-order derivative lagrangian density as it is widely known.

To summarize, we have seen that the most general way in which the completely antisymmetric torsion is present is both implicitly through the connection and explicitly with quadratic terms beside the curvature scalar, and an analogous geometric construction can also be done for the gauge field so to obtain the gauge field equations; a completely antisymmetric spin density and an energy density with a current are defined: the system of field equations for the completely antisymmetric torsion-spin coupling (32-38) together with curvature-energy coupling given in terms of (33-39) and alongside to gauge-current coupling given by (34-40) given by the geometric field equations

$$Q^{\rho\mu\nu}=-a\tfrac{i\hbar}{4}\overline{\psi}\{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\rho},\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}\}\psi \tag{42}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\tfrac{b}{2a}\left(\tfrac{1}{4}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}Q^{2}-\tfrac{1}{2}Q^{\mu\alpha\sigma}Q_{\nu\alpha\sigma}+D_{\rho}{Q^{\rho\mu}}_{\nu}\right)+\left({G^{\mu}}_{\nu}-\tfrac{1}{2}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}G-\lambda\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}\right)+\\&+\left(\tfrac{b+a}{2}\right)\left(F^{\rho\mu}F_{\rho\nu}-\tfrac{1}{4}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}F^{2}\right)=\left(\tfrac{b+a}{2}\right)\tfrac{i\hbar}{2}\left(\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{D}_{\nu}\psi-\boldsymbol{D}_{\nu}\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\psi\right)\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

$$\tfrac{1}{2}F_{\mu\nu}Q^{\mu\nu\rho}+D_{\sigma}F^{\sigma\rho}=q\hbar\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\rho}\psi \tag{44}$$

are verified once the matter field equations (41) given by

$$i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{D}_{\mu}\psi-m\psi=0 \tag{45}$$

are satisfied, as a direct calculation shows. We notice that on the one hand the presence of torsion allows for the description of the spin density whereas on the other hand the fact that torsion is completely antisymmetric restrain the spin to be completely antisymmetric allowing only the simplest spinor field to be defined without constraints and thus consistently; notice that the matter field equation (45) has characteristic equation $n^{2}=0$ so that the vector n_{a} is light-like so that the characteristic surfaces are on the light-cone. The fact that all degrees of freedom are properly accounted by a causal matter field equation tells us that this matter field equation is well defined, as discussed in [10, 11].

We finally remark that the completely antisymmetric torsional coupling constant given by b with the gravitational constant a with charge q and $\hbar$ together account for as many free coupling constants as independent fields, as it should be supposed to hold for consistency; on the other hand, we have that the cosmological constant λ and the mass m of the spinor are accounted as mere parameters since they can be seen as integration constants, due to the fact that the field equations can be obtained through integration from the differential conservation laws coming from geometric identities. These dynamical field equations are hence the most general system of least-order derivative field equations [12, 13].

2.1 The Self-Interactions for Matter Fields

In the system of field equations, torsional quantities can be decomposed in terms of torsionless quantities and torsional contributions that can be converted through the torsion-spin coupling field equation into spinorial potentials in all remaining field equations, starting from those of the curvature-energy coupling

$$\begin{aligned}(R_{\mu\nu}+\lambda g_{\mu\nu})+\left(\tfrac{b+a}{2}\right)\left(F^{\rho}{}_{\mu}F_{\rho\nu}-\tfrac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}F^{2}\right)=-\left(\tfrac{b+a}{2}\right)\tfrac{m}{2}\overline{\psi}\psi g_{\mu\nu}+\\+\left(\tfrac{b+a}{2}\right)\tfrac{i\hbar}{4}\left(\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\nu}\psi+\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\nu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}\psi-\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\nu}\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mu}\psi-\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\nu}\psi\right)\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

which in the form given for the Ricci metric curvature are exactly the field equations we would have had without torsion and for the gauge-current coupling

$$\nabla_{\sigma}F^{\sigma\rho}=q\hbar\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\rho}\psi \tag{47}$$

as those we would have had with no torsion, with matter field equations

$$\begin{aligned}&i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}\psi+\tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar^{2}\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\psi\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mu}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\psi-m\psi\equiv\\&\equiv i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}\psi-\tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar^{2}\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\psi\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mu}\psi-m\psi\equiv\\&\equiv i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}\psi-\tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar^{2}\left(\overline{\psi}\psi\mathbb{I}-\overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\psi\boldsymbol{\gamma}\right)\psi-m\psi=0\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

as in the torsionless case but complemented by spinorial self-interactions with the Nambu-Jona–Lasinio form [14, 15], and these are the Dirac field equations.

Notice that a non-gravitational limit can be obtained in which it is possible to split the temporal from all spatial contributions, so that upon definition of the quantities $F^{it}=E^{i}$ and $F^{ij}=-\varepsilon^{ijk}B_{k}$ where i,j,k are spatial label, we get the field equations for the gauge-current coupling split into

$$\mathrm{div}\vec{E}=q\hbar\psi^{\dagger}\psi \tag{49}$$

$$\mathrm{rot}\vec{B}-\partial_{t}\vec{E}=q\hbar\psi^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{0}\vec{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\psi \tag{50}$$

where the square of the spinor field can be interpreted as the matter density distribution, and when written in the standard representation, the spinor field maintains only the large component $\overline{\psi}=(\phi^{\dagger},0)$ with matter field equations

$$\begin{aligned}&i\hbar\tfrac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}+\tfrac{\hbar^{2}}{2m}\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2}\phi+\tfrac{q\hbar^{2}}{2m}B_{j}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{j}\phi-\tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar^{2}\phi^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{k}\phi\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{k}\phi-m\phi\equiv\\&\equiv i\hbar\tfrac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}+\tfrac{\hbar^{2}}{2m}\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2}\phi+\tfrac{q\hbar^{2}}{2m}B_{j}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{j}\phi-\tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar^{2}\phi^{\dagger}\phi\phi-m\phi=0\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

where the presence of torsion is manifested as semispinorial self-interactions of the Ginzburg-Landau form [16], and these are known as Pauli field equations.

Without magnetic field in stationary systems of energy E if the semispinor has a single scalar component $\phi^{\dagger}=(u^{*},0)$ the matter field equation is

$$i\hbar\tfrac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\tfrac{\hbar^{2}}{2m}\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2}u-\tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar^{2}u^{*}uu-Eu=0 \tag{52}$$

with completely antisymmetric torsion expressed in the guise of semispinorial self-interactions with Gross-Pitaevskii form [17], in the Schrödinger equation.

We have to notice that if the constant a is defined to be positive, hence the non-linear potentials are repulsive, and since for opposite-spin matter distributions the overall non-linear potential vanishes, then we have that the non-linear potential that keeps apart general matter distributions fails to do so by allowing the linear superposition of opposite-spin matter distributions, therefore entailing the exclusion principle in a dynamical way [18]: in particular, a consequence of the fact that for single-handed projections of massless fields the non-linear potential vanishes is that, for instance, neutrinos do not obey the exclusion principle, a hypothesis that was already suggested in [19]; on the other hand, if a is defined to be negative, then the non-linear potentials are attractive, and therefore they are capable of giving rise to the symmetry breaking mechanism occurring for condensation and superconductivity. It is of course important to recall that in out approach it is only from geometrical considerations that the non-linear potential within the matter field equations have been found.

Considering that in chiral representation the spinor field can be decomposed in its two chiral projections $(\mathbb{I}-\boldsymbol{\gamma})\psi = 2\psi_L$ and $(\mathbb{I}+\boldsymbol{\gamma})\psi = 2\psi_R$ we have that matter field equation (48) given in the third of the equivalent forms as in

$$i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}\psi - \tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar^{2}\left(\overline{\psi}\psi\mathbb{I} - \overline{\psi}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\psi\boldsymbol{\gamma}\right)\psi - m\psi = 0 \tag{53}$$

are eventually decomposed in terms of

$$i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}\psi_{R} - \tfrac{3a}{8}\hbar^{2}\overline{\psi}_{L}\psi_{R}\psi_{L} - m\psi_{L} = 0 \tag{54}$$

$$i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}\psi_{L} - \tfrac{3a}{8}\hbar^{2}\overline{\psi}_{R}\psi_{L}\psi_{R} - m\psi_{R} = 0 \tag{55}$$

where we see that the torsionally induced spinorial self-interactions are actually chiral interactions, for which the transformation $\psi_L \leftrightarrow \psi_R$ is a symmetry, and therefore torsion has the effect of rendering meaningless to study the two chiral decompositions independently; clearly if we implement the discrete spacetime transformation we also have that the field equations are symmetric, although the complex conjugation $\psi \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\gamma}^2\psi^*$ has the effects of reverting the sign of the torsional self-interactions within these field equations: this means that if a matter field ψ is a solution its antimatter field $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2\psi^*$ is not a solution whenever a completely antisymmetric torsional term induces self-interactions within the spinorial field equation, for which the space of the solutions is restricted by the induced non-linearities. Notice that the spinor field with its two spin degrees of freedom has a total amount of two independent components, as expected.

In the approximation (51) for which we get the approximated field equations

$$i\hbar\tfrac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} + \tfrac{\hbar^{2}}{2m}\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2}\phi - \tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar^{2}\phi^{\dagger}\phi\phi - m\phi = 0 \tag{56}$$

we do not have chiral decompositions and there is no corresponding of the complex conjugation, only an analogous $\phi \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2\phi^*$ which does not convert matter into antimatter but it only changes the sign of the charge, as the torsional self-interactions in the field equations are invariant; the two spin degrees of freedom are still present with non-linear interactions after the approximation.

In the further limit (52) the field equations given by

$$i\hbar\tfrac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \tfrac{\hbar^{2}}{2m}\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2}u - \tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar^{2}u^{*}uu - Eu = 0 \tag{57}$$

have even lost all spin degrees of freedom and therefore the have the features of scalar-like matter, although still characterized by non-linear interactions.

The important fact we have to notice is that if it were not from fermions, but from bosons, that this scalar-like matter were to be obtained, then the spinorial self-interactions, which are here still present, would instead not even have been gotten in the first place; this confirms that it is in terms of fermionic bosonization, and not in terms of pure bosons, that the phenomenon of condensation occurs, in the superconductivity models we have developed thus far.

2.2 Some Interactions among Matter Fields

All done so far is about the single spinor field, and next we shall sketch some consequences of the interactions that occur between different spinor fields.

First of all we have to notice that torsion is related to the spin density of all possible spinors involved in the interaction, and so there will be the presence of all spinors in the interacting terms of each spinor field equation: in the simplest case of two spinor fields α and ω we have the coupled spinorial field equations

$$i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}\alpha+\tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar^{2}\left(\overline{\alpha}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\alpha+\overline{\omega}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\omega\right)\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mu}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\alpha-m_{\alpha}\alpha \tag{58}$$

$$i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}\omega+\tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar^{2}\left(\overline{\alpha}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\alpha+\overline{\omega}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\omega\right)\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mu}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\omega-m_{\omega}\omega \tag{59}$$

showing that there are both spinorial self-interaction of each spinor with itself plus spinorial mutual interactions of each spinor with all the others, in each spinorial field equation; all the spinorial self-interactions and mutual interactions are actually left-hand and right-hand semispinorial interactions, therefore even after splitting the spinors in their irreducible chiral decompositions it will not be possible to decouple all spinorial field equations, and interesting behaviour may arise, as we are going to discuss with the next two examples.

2.2.1 Mixing Oscillation among Massless Neutrinos

The simplest situation is given by the case of a coupled system of spinorial fields, both taken in the massless configuration and therefore split into two projections of which we consider the left-handed one: the system of field equations given by the above (58-59) in the present case are given by the following

$$i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}\nu_{1}+\tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar^{2}\overline{\nu}_{2}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mu}\nu_{2}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\nu_{1}=0 \tag{60}$$

$$i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}\nu_{2}+\tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar^{2}\overline{\nu}_{1}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mu}\nu_{1}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\nu_{2}=0 \tag{61}$$

in which now the fact that ν_1 and ν_2 are both massless is precisely the reason for which they may mix into the ν doublet; these field equations can be worked out through the Fierz rearrangements to be merged into the form

$$i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}\nu+i\vec{A}_{\mu}\cdot\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\nu\right)=0 \tag{62}$$

once we define the triplet of composite fields

$$\vec{A}_{\mu}=\tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar\overline{\nu}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mu}\vec{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\nu \tag{63}$$

and if the doublet ν has $SU(2)$ transformation the triplet $\vec{A}_{\mu}$ transforms as the adjoint representation of the $SU(2)$ transformation itself, so to give rise for the left-handed semispinorial fields to an interaction mediated by a flavour changing neutral current, providing a mechanism through which neutrinos can indeed have oscillations. Notice that this flavour changing neutral current is not related to the neutral weak current that is present in the standard model.

In this case the constant a should be finely tuned to the value of the neutrino oscillation length, but quantitative results would need numerical simulations, which are yet to be done; therefore the employment of this model to estimate the oscillation lengths so to fit the constant a is still a work in progress.

Notice however that in the present model it is precisely because of their masslessness that neutrinos may have oscillations, as discussed in [20].

2.2.2 Weak Interactions among Massive Leptons

Let us next take into account the case of a coupled system of spinorial fields, one of which e is massive while the other ν is massless and therefore split into two projections of which we consider the left-handed one: the system of field equations (58-59) in the present case will then be given by the following

$$i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}e-\tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar^{2}\left(\overline{e}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mu}e\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}e+\overline{\nu}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mu}\nu\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\gamma}e\right)-me=0 \tag{64}$$

$$i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}\nu-\tfrac{3a}{16}\hbar^{2}\overline{e}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mu}\boldsymbol{\gamma}e\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\nu=0 \tag{65}$$

in which the fact that e is massive while ν massless is the reason that prevents these two fields to mix into a doublet; these field equations can be worked out through the Fierz rearrangements to be converted into the equivalent form

$$\begin{gathered}i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}e+\tfrac{3a}{8}(\cos\theta\hbar)^{2}\overline{e}\boldsymbol{\gamma}e\boldsymbol{\gamma}e+\\+q\tan\theta Z_{\mu}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}e-\tfrac{g}{2\cos\theta}Z_{\mu}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}e_{L}+\tfrac{g}{\sqrt{2}}W^{*}_{\mu}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\nu-He-me=0\end{gathered} \tag{66}$$

$$i\hbar\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\mu}\nu+\tfrac{g}{2\cos\theta}Z_{\mu}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\nu+\tfrac{g}{\sqrt{2}}W_{\mu}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}e_{L}=0 \tag{67}$$

once we define the set of composite fields

$$Z^{\mu}=-\tfrac{3a}{32}\tfrac{\cos\theta\hbar^{2}}{q\sin\theta}\left[(2\sin\theta)^{2}\overline{e}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}e-2\overline{e}_{L}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}e_{L}+2\overline{\nu}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\nu\right] \tag{68}$$

$$W^{\mu}=-\tfrac{3a}{\sqrt{2}}\tfrac{[(2\sin\theta)^{2}-1]\hbar^{2}}{16q\sin\theta}\left(\overline{e}_{L}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}\nu\right) \tag{69}$$

$$H=\tfrac{3a}{8}(\cos\theta\hbar)^{2}\overline{e}e \tag{70}$$

showing that field equations (66) and (67) are formally identical to the system of field equations for the lepton fields after the symmetry breaking in the standard model, although both weak and Higgs boson fields here are composite while in the standard model they would be fundamental. This is an important discrepancy between the present and the standard model, because in our approach we expect both weak and Higgs bosons to display internal structure whenever the energy is high enough to probe their potential compositeness, while in the standard model they are supposed to be structureless at any energy scale.

If the constant a is chosen with the value of the Fermi constant in Planck units, then the non-linear potentials reproduce both form and strength of the weak interactions; in this case, effects are relevant in nuclear physics.

We remark that if this is true, then it will be due to the presence of torsion that the spinor fields will be forced to interact as if they were weakly interacting, in a situation that has always been asymmetric due to the mass of one of the original fields and not for which it was after an initial symmetry breaking that masses were generated, as it has been explained in [21, 22].

This means that it would be through within torsion that the weak information would be stored as it is within the metric that the gravitational information is contained, much in the same way as it is in the gauge potentials that the electrodynamics takes place, when interacting with the spinor fields, altogether described by means of a single framework.

Conclusion

In the present paper, we have started from the ESK theory considering Kaempffer speculation for which it must have been possible to incorporate for the independent metric and torsion fields two different coupling constants, giving to this ESK^2 theory a concrete realization: we have obtained all the field equations, which have eventually been decomposed and rearranged in order to show that the presence of torsion and its coupling constant are converted into spinorial self-interactions displaying a free universal coupling constant within the Dirac matter field equations: we have shown that the non-linear potential has the form of a Nambu-Jona–Lasinio potential in the Dirac spinorial equation, the form of a Ginzburg-Landau potential in the Pauli non-relativistic spinorial equation, and the form of a Gross-Pitaevskii potential in the Schrödinger non-relativistic scalar-like equation, discussing how condensation and superconductivity may then be justified; and we have discussed how torsion may give rise for coupled spinors to, firstly, oscillations for neutrinos, then, weak interactions for leptons.

The main results of the present work lie on two different levels, in terms of both the content and the form: from the point of view of the contents, the fact that the torsional spinorial self-interactions may give rise to the potentials of superconductivity or the interactions of the standard model in the matter field equations is not new in itself, what is new is that those potentials are related to a constant a that in the ESK theory is the Newton constant, whose smallness suppresses all sorts of effects, whereas in the present ESK^2 theory the constant is still undetermined, leaving open the possibility that those effects may actually be relevant at the scales they are supposed to be such; from the perspective of the form, the interesting fact is that here all field equations up to the Dirac equation have all been obtained thought geometric arguments alone.

This is important because, from superconductivity to the standard model, the peculiar behaviour ascribed to special potentials introduced *ad hoc* may be due to the specific potentials induced by a general torsion tensor and thus justified within a geometric approach *a priori*, the only thing still missing being the value of the free coupling constant a of the ESK^2 theory.

On the other hand, there are open problems that torsion has not solved yet or which may never be able to address, for instance: Could torsion be the geometric justification of bosonization, condensation and superconductivity? Might it be possible to calculate the neutrino oscillations length and employ the same values to fit the strength of the effective leptonic weak forces? What would be in this model the mediator of the neutrino oscillation and the composite mediators of the weak interactions and Higgs boson's masses?

Admittedly it appears to be rather unlikely that a single constant a could in principle fit for all effects discussed here their corresponding observations so to allow the ESK^2 theory to reasonably answer to all of these questions, but even if it can actually answer only to some of them, it will be enough to attract further attention to the role of torsion.

But even in this case, we will never be able to know what the answer is if we neglect torsion as a whole.

References

[1] V. De Sabbata, C. Sivaram, "Spin and Torsion in Gravitation" (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994).

[2] F. A. Kaempffer, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **7**, 327 (1976).

[3] J. Audretsch, C. Lämmerzahl, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **5**, 1285 (1988).

[4] K. Hayashi, *Phys. Lett.* **B65**, 437 (1976).

[5] A. Macias, C. Lämmerzahl, *J. Math. Phys.* **34**, 4540 (1993).

[6] Xin Yu, *Astrophysical and Space Science* **154**, 321 (1989).

[7] L. Fabbri, in *Annales de la Fondation de Broglie: Special Issue on Torsion* (Ed. Dvoeglazov, Fondation de Broglie, 2007).

[8] L. Fabbri, in *Contemporary Fundamental Physics: Einstein and Hilbert* (Ed. Dvoeglazov, Nova Science, 2011).

[9] F. W. Hehl, P. Von Der Heyde, G. D. Kerlick, J. M. Nester, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **48**, 393 (1976).

[10] L. Fabbri, *Annales Fond. Broglie* **33**, 365 (2008).

[11] L. Fabbri, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **51**, 954 (2012).

[12] C. Sivaram, K. P. Sinha, *Lett. Nuovo Cim.* **13**, 357 (1975).

[13] C. Sivaram, K. P. Sinha, *Phys. Rept.* **51**, 111 (1979).

[14] Y. Nambu, G. Jona–Lasinio, *Phys. Rev.* **122**, 345 (1961).

[15] Y. Nambu, G. Jona–Lasinio, *Phys. Rev.* **124**, 246 (1961).

[16] V. L. Ginzburg, L. D. Landau, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **20**, 1064 (1950).

[17] E. P. Gross, *Nuovo Cim.* **20**, 454 (1961).

[18] L. Fabbri, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **..**, ... (2012).

[19] A. D. Dolgov, A. Yu. Smirnov, *Phys. Lett. B* **621**, 1 (2005).

[20] L. Fabbri, arXiv:1012.3862 [hep-th].

[21] L. Fabbri, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **26**, 2091 (2011).

[22] L. Fabbri, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **50**, 3616 (2011).